



Texas Department of Insurance

Division of Workers' Comp

Medical Fee Dispute Resolution, MS-48

7551 Metro Center Drive, Suite 100 • Austin, Texas 78744-1645

518-804-4000 telephone • 512-804-4811 fax • www.tdi.texas.gov

MEDICAL FEE DISPUTE RESOLUTION FINDINGS AND DECISION

GENERAL INFORMATION

Requestor Name and Address

USMD HOSPITAL AT FORT WORTH
5900 DIRKS ROAD
FORT WORTH TX 76132

Respondent Name

ACE AMERICAN INSURANCE COMPANY

Carrier's Austin Representative Box

Box Number 15

MFDR Tracking Number

M4-11-3340-01

REQUESTOR'S POSITION SUMMARY

Requestor's Position Summary: "We are requesting a medical fee dispute because the claim was underpaid according to the allowed amount for DRG 491. I requested reconsideration and it was denied stating the claim was processed correctly. I contacted ESIS, I talked to Lonnie with Coventry in regards to the denial, and she said there was no discount taken through Focus Beech Street. Coventry only allowed the amount ESIS recommended according to the notes in the system." "When I requested reconsideration, I also included the screen print from Medicare's DRG calculator showing the allowed amount."

Amount in Dispute: \$4,756.47

RESPONDENT'S POSITION SUMMARY

Respondent's Position Summary: The respondent did not submit a response to this dispute.

Response Submitted by: N/A

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Dates of Service	Disputed Services	Amount In Dispute	Amount Due
July 22, 2010 through July 24, 2010	Inpatient Hospital Surgical Services	\$4,756.47	\$4,756.47

FINDINGS AND DECISION

This medical fee dispute is decided pursuant to Texas Labor Code §413.031 and all applicable, adopted rules of the Texas Department of Insurance, Division of Workers' Compensation.

Background

1. 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.307 sets out the procedures for resolving a medical fee dispute.
2. 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.404 sets out the guidelines for reimbursement of hospital facility fees for inpatient services.

3. 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.404(e) states that: “Except as provided in subsection (h) of this section, regardless of billed amount, reimbursement shall be:
 - (1) the amount for the service that is included in a specific fee schedule set in a contract that complies with the requirements of Labor Code §413.011; or
 - (2) if no contracted fee schedule exists that complies with Labor Code §413.011, the maximum allowable reimbursement (MAR) amount under subsection (f) of this section, including any applicable outlier payment amounts and reimbursement for implantables.”
 - (3) If no contracted fee schedule exists that complies with Labor Code §413.011, and an amount cannot be determined by application of the formula to calculate the MAR as outlined in subsection (f) of this section, reimbursement shall be determined in accordance with §134.1 of this title (relating to Medical Reimbursement).
4. 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.404(f) states that “The reimbursement calculation used for establishing the MAR shall be the Medicare facility specific amount, including outlier payment amounts, determined by applying the most recently adopted and effective Medicare Inpatient Prospective Payment System (IPPS) reimbursement formula and factors as published annually in the Federal Register. The following minimal modifications shall be applied.
 - (1) The sum of the Medicare facility specific reimbursement amount and any applicable outlier payment amount shall be multiplied by:
 - (A) 143 percent; unless
 - (B) a facility or surgical implant provider requests separate reimbursement in accordance with subsection (g) of this section, in which case the facility specific reimbursement amount and any applicable outlier payment amount shall be multiplied by 108 percent.”
5. The services in dispute were reduced/denied by the respondent with the following reason codes:

Explanation of benefits dated October 5, 2010

 - 1 – Z710 –The charge for this procedure exceeds the fee schedule allowance.

Explanation of benefits dated December 22, 2010

 - 1 – Z710 –The charge for this procedure exceeds the fee schedule allowance.
 - 2 –MT38 – This bill was reviewed for ESIS treatment parameters.
 - 3 –MT44 – This bill was reviewed for ESIS treatment parameters.

Explanation of benefits dated April 28, 2010

 - 1 – P303 –This contracted provider or hospital has agreed to reduce this charge below fee schedule or usual and customary charges for your business.
 - 2 –Z009 – Any reduction is in accordance with the FOCUS Beech Street contract. For questions regarding contractual reduction, please call 1-800-243-2336.
 - 3 – Z710 –The charge for this procedure exceeds the fee schedule allowance.
 - 4 –MT38 – This bill was reviewed for ESIS treatment parameters.
 - 5 –MT44 – This bill was reviewed for ESIS treatment parameters.

Issues

1. Were the disputed services subject to a specific fee schedule set in a contract between the parties that complies with the requirements of Labor Code §413.011?
2. Can the maximum allowable reimbursement (MAR) amount for the disputed services be determined according to 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.404(f)?
3. Did the facility or a surgical implant provider request separate reimbursement for implantables in accordance with 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.404(g)?
4. Is the requestor entitled to additional reimbursement for the disputed services?

Findings

1. The respondent denied reimbursement for the disputed service based upon “Any reduction is in accordance with the FOCUS Beech Street contract.” 28 TAC §133.3 requires that “Any communication between the health care provider and insurance carrier related to medical bill processing shall be of sufficient, specific detail to allow the respondent to easily identify the information required to resolve the issue or question related to the medical bill. Generic statements that simply state a conclusion such as ‘insurance carrier improperly reduced the bill’ or ‘health care provider did not document’ or other similar phrases with no further description of the factual basis for the sender’s position does not satisfy the requirements of this section.” The Division finds that the denial reason is generic because it does not identify where a contract was accessed, nor does it identify the network if indeed a discount was taken due to a contract. The respondent did not clarify or otherwise address the Z009 claim adjustment code upon receipt of the request for dispute resolution. For this reason,

the Division finds that the Z009 claim adjustment code is not supported.

2. The maximum allowable reimbursement (MAR) amount for the disputed services can be determined according to 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.404(f).
3. Review of the submitted documentation finds no request for separate reimbursement of implantables in accordance with 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.404(g).
4. Reimbursement for the disputed services is calculated in accordance with 28 TAC §134.404(f)(1)(A) as follows:

The Medicare facility-specific reimbursement amount including outlier payment amount for DRG 491 is \$8,529.96.

This amount multiplied by 143% is \$12,197.84.

The total maximum allowable reimbursement (MAR) is \$12,197.84.

This amount less the amount previously paid by the respondent of \$7,429.40 leaves an amount due to the requestor of \$4,768.44.

The requestor's *Table of Disputed Services* lists the total amount in dispute as \$4,756.47.

The Division concludes that the requestor is entitled to \$4,756.47 additional reimbursement.

Conclusion

For the reasons stated above, the division finds that the requestor has established that additional reimbursement is due. As a result, the amount ordered is \$4,756.47.

ORDER

Based upon the documentation submitted by the parties and in accordance with the provisions of Texas Labor Code Sections 413.031 and 413.019 (if applicable), the Division has determined that the requestor is entitled to additional reimbursement for the services involved in this dispute. The Division hereby ORDERS the respondent to remit to the requestor the amount of \$4,756.47 plus applicable accrued interest per 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.130, due within 30 days of receipt of this Order.

Authorized Signature

_____ Signature	_____ Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Officer	September 16, 2011 Date
--------------------	---	----------------------------

YOUR RIGHT TO REQUEST AN APPEAL

Either party to this medical fee dispute has a right to request an appeal. A request for hearing must be in writing and it must be received by the DWC Chief Clerk of Proceedings within **twenty** days of your receipt of this decision. A request for hearing should be sent to: Chief Clerk of Proceedings, Texas Department of Insurance, Division of Workers Compensation, P.O. Box 17787, Austin, Texas, 78744. **Please include a copy of the Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Findings and Decision** together with other required information specified in Division rule at 28 Texas Administrative Code §148.3(c).

Si prefiere hablar con una persona en español acerca de ésta correspondencia, favor de llamar a 512-804-4812.